

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/678,303	10/03/2000	Louis-Phillippe Vezina	14149-4 "US" FC/ntb	5731
20988 7	590 06/03/2003			
OGILVY RENAULT 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
			HELMER, GEORGIA L	
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	17
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant	t(s)			
		09/678,303	VEZINA E	VEZINA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Georgia L. Helme	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail - Any earr	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Society and will expire Society.	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be consid D. (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	te of this communication. § 133).			
Status	D	4					
1)[
2a)⊡	,—	is action is non-fin					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊡ Claim(s) 1,2,5,9 and 10 is/are pending in the application.							
٠,٠	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)							
6) <u>·</u>							
7)							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiren	nent.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a	D All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme	-	o priority diluci oc	. 5.5.6. 33 120 and/or 12	1.			
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	nterview Summary (PTO-413) F Notice of Informal Patent Applica Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/678,303

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

- 1. The Office acknowledges receipt of Applicants Response; dated 26 March 2003, paper number 16.
- 2. Applicant has cancelled claims 3 and 4, and amended claims 1, 2, and 5. New claims 9 and 10 have been added. Claims 1, 2, and 5-10 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9 and 10 are examined in the instant action.
- 3. This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 12, dated 21 October 2002. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 4. All rejections not addressed below have been withdrawn.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

6. The first line of the specification is objected to because it recites the serial number of the present application, while stating that this application is a continuation of the same. Correction is required.

Applicant traverses, stating primarily that the version of the application in their hands does not contain an incorporation by reference mentioning a serial number. And that Examiner must have another versions of the application. Applicant requests

Art Unit: 1638

Examiner to send a copy of his version so as to enable corrections to the specification.

Applicant's traversal has been considered and is unpersuasive because the language at issue is present in the Preliminary Amendment, paper No. 4, filed 1 March 2002.

Applicant has requested amending the application by replacing the paragraph line I of page 5 with an amended paragraph. However the amended "paragraph" is not a paragraph, just a part of a paragraph. This amendment is objected to under 37 CFR 121(b), which states that such amendments need to be of complete paragraphs. This amendment has not been entered and Applicant should resubmit it in proper form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

7. Claims 1,2, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "the" needs to be inserted between "consisting of" and "sequences set forth". Otherwise the claim is indefinite and reads on pieces of the complete SEQ ID Nos.

In claim 2, "the" needs to be inserted between DNA of interest by" and "presence" for clarity. Also, "presence of light" implies all light conditions of all magnitudes and wavelengths.

Art Unit: 1638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

Enablement

8. Claims 1,2, 5, 9, and 10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

Applicant traverses, stating primarily that from the disclosure, it is shown how to prepare the expression vectors, that someone skilled in the art would easily perform the protocol described by the manufacturers specification. And that someone skilled in the art having the plasmid pGPLAS3.2 in his hands would recognize that it results from the integration of SEQ ID NO: 1 into the cloning vector pGEM/T which is a vector provided by Promega.

Applicant's traversal has been considered and is unpersuasive because no description of pGPLAS3.2 has been provided, nor is information about what DNA sequences, in what configuration, of what length, and in what orientation are present in the plasmid. Neither the specification nor the Declaration of Dr. Marc-Andre Daoust, dated 21 February 2001, provides this information.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant traverses, stating primarily the Declaration of Dr. Marc-Andre Daoust, dated 21 February 2001, as well as the results described in the present application show that the promoter described in SEQ ID NO: 1, and fragments thereof, namely P960 and P729, which are respectively SEQ ID NO: 2 and 3, are active in inducing the transcription of the β -Glucuronidase marker genetically transformed plant cells.

Applicant's traversal has been considered and is unpersuasive—because the starting materials for the experiments described in the Declaration—the specific DNA delivered, the specifics of the DNA constructs, what sequences and genes are involved, whether an expression cassette or vector is involved, are not described other than citing "the P960 deletion". No information is given on the relationship of the specifics of the Declaration to the claimed invention. What is P970? What is pGPLAS3.2? How do these relate to the claimed invention?

Applicant traverses, stating primarily that one skilled in the art will understand that Applicants do not claim plants or plants cells in which the promoters of the present invention do not work. And that also, it is well known in the art that the use of a promoter originating from one group of organism, such as dicots, can be successfully used in other groups, such as monocots or vice versa.

Applicant's traversal has been considered and is unpersuasive for reasons of record, re-cited below:

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant is not enabled for all plant cells, or for the broad scope of the claims. This is because using a promoter isolated from one species of plant would produce unpredictable results when said promoter is used to specify expression of a gene in another species of plant. Oommenn et al (1994, The Plant Cell 6:1789-1803) teach that the alfalfa isoflavone reductase promoter exhibits a different expression pattern in tobacco as compared to the expression in alfalfa. In tobacco, the alfalfa isoflavone reductase promoter expressed in vegetative tissues and in reproductive organs whereas the same construct only expressed in the root meristem, cortex and nodules of alfalfa plants (abstract).

Remarks

- 9. No claim is allowed.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1638

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Georgia L. Helmer whose telephone number is 703-308-7023. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on 703-306-3218. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Georgia Helmer PhD

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1638 🧹

May 30, 2003

ELIZABETH F. McELWAIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800

Page 7